

190/ .

Foreign Department.

GENERAL-B.

Progs. October 1901, Nos. 24-27.

12 pages, with Notes.

Subject.

Enquiry by the Consul-General for Turkey at
Bombay whether any properties belonging to the
late ^{held} Firoze Shah of the ex-Royal family of Delhi
are in trust by the Government of India

For references to former and later cases see within the cover.

No. 66.

Pro. No. 25

Letter to the Chief Secy to the Govt of the
No. 987-G, dated the 23rd May 1911.

(2)

Forwards a copy of the letter from
Bombay Govt, with enclosure, & request
the Govt of India may be furnished with
report on the subject.

No need to remind
Punjab Govt till
1.9.11. Enquiries
from local authorities
must be made before
any answer can be
given.

cont. S. S. Latham
11/7/11

37
D. S. P. (119)
3.7.11

Reminders submitted

88/119 S. S. Latham
4.9.

cont.
1.9.11

83
1.9.11

Foreign Department.

[DRAFT.]—For Approval.

If reply required, here n
R: if speedy re
RR.

Simla, the 6th September 1901.

DD
To

Previous Papers. { Dy. Heading
Dy. No.

The Hon'ble Mr. M. W. Fenton, C.S.
Chief Secy to the Govt. of the
Punjab,
Simla.

Desps: 6/9/11

My dear Mr. Fenton,

Will you kindly say when
a reply may be expected to
my letter, No 987-G, dated the
23rd May 1911, regarding an
enquiry made by the acting
Consul-General for Turkey at
Bombay as to whether any
properties belonging to the late
Mirza Feroze Shah, a grandson
of the Emperor King of Delhi, are
held in trust by the Government
of India.

yours sincerely

J. B. Patterson

Asst Secy.

Fair copied by K.S. 5/9/11

Read by J.M.

Examined by J.H.A.

Despatched by P.N.N.

Proceedings,

No.

Pro. No. 26

Coll. Pers.
D. No. 182-G.

Letter
From - The Chief Secy. to the Govt. of the Punjab

(3)

M. 2213-S (Pro.)

Dated the 18th } Sept/11
Recd. the 19th }

Forwards Copy of an endorsement from the Commissioner, Delhi Division, with its enclosure, stating that no property belonging to the late Mirza Feroze Shah is held in trust by the Govt of India.

* The Bombay Gt transferred the Consul. Genl's letter to the Govt. of India for the purpose

The Consul. General for Turkey at Bombay may be informed accordingly
Draft submitted.

PR
20/9/11
Circd.
20.9.11

S. B. Paterson
21.9.

Pro. No. 27

20/9/11

Letter to the Consul. General for Turkey at Bombay,
M-1798-G, dated the 23rd Sept 1911.

Says that it has been ascertained that Mirza Feroze Shah was only a Cousin & neither the son nor grandson of the late Bahadur Shah, that he never received any pension or gratuity from the Govt. of India and appears to have left Delhi in the year 1857/1858. and to have settled in Turkey. Adds that there is no property belonging to him which is held in trust by the Govt of India & that Mussammat Khairie his widow has no claim to assistance from them.

B
25.9.11

CONSULAT GENERAL

OTTOMAN

No. 26



BOMBAY 15th April, 1911.

17/4

Encls - to Bo no 24

C. A. Kincaid Esqr., I. C. S.,
Secretary to Government,
Political Department,
B o m b a y .

Sir,

I have the honour to state that a certain Khairic, widow of Firoze Shah, son of the late Bahadur Shah, the last Emperor of the Mogul dynasty, who resides in Turkey has petitioned the Ottoman Government, with a view to ascertain if any properties belonging to the late Firoze Shah are in the trust of the Indian Government, and if so, as the petitioner has no means of subsistence, would the Indian Government be pleased to make an allowance for her from the said trust.

I have, therefore, the honour to request you to cause enquiries made into the matter and inform me of the result.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Mahmoud

Acting Ottoman Consul-General.

Foreign Department.

[DRAFT.]—For Approval.

No. 987 - G.

Simla, the 23rd May 1911.

G.P. (Cantonment) 1911 No. 25

To

The Chief Secy. to the Govt of the Punjab.

Previous Papers. { Dy. Heading
 { Dy. No.

D. P. 23-5-11

Mohit 23/5/11

Sir,

I am directed to forward a copy of a letter from the Secy to the Govt of Bombay, P.W. Deptt, No-2821 dated the 27th April 1911, with enclosure, regarding an enquiry ^{made} by the acting Consul-General for Turkey at Bombay as to whether ~~there~~ any properties belonging to the late Mirza Feroze Shah, a grandson of the Emperor King of Delhi, are held in trust by the Govt of India.

* vide Statement No-1 attached to Punjab Govt letter No-404 dated the 19th July 1862.

Fair copied by *WMS*
Read by *WMS*
Examined by *Om*
Despatched by *WMS*

2. I am to request that, with the permission of H.H. the Secy-Governor, the Govt. of India may be furnished with a report on the subject.

Yours faithfully,

(Sd) S. B. Patterson

Proceedings,

Chief Secy

जी. सी. बी. पी. भारत सरकार
National Archives

(3)
No. 2213-S (Pol.)

P.P.
182-S

1911

From,

G.B. October 17 11 0326

The Hon'ble Mr. M. W. Fenton, C.S.I., I.C.S.,
Chief Secretary to Government, Punjab.

To,

The Secretary to the Government of India,
Foreign Department.

Political
General

Dated Simla, the 18th of September 1911.

Sir,

x J. M. (2)

With reference to Major Patterson's letter No. 987-G ----
dated 23rd May 1911, regarding an enquiry as to whether any ----
properties belonging to the late Mirza Feroze Shah said to be a
grandson of the ex-King of Delhi, are held in trust by the ----
Government of India, I am directed to enclose herewith a copy of
an endorsement from the Commissioner, Delhi Division, with its
enclosure stating that no such property is held in trust by the
Government of India.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. W. Fenton

Chief Secretary.

(G.L.)

Encls - Ao Bo. No. 26

9

Copy of a letter No. 180 dated Delhi, the 29th ---
August 1911, from the Deputy Commissioner, Delhi District
to Lieutenant Colonel C.M. Dallas, I.A., Commissioner,
Delhi Division.

-----&&&&&-----

In reply to your endorsement No. 1063 dated 19th ---
June 1911, I have the honour to say that the Fairoz Shah
mentioned in the letter from the Ottoman Government ----
(No. 26 of 15th April 1911), is referred to as to the --
son of the late Bahadur Shah. In the letter of the -----
Assistant Secretary to the Government of India (No. -----
287-G of 23rd May 1911) the reference to Fairoz Shah as
the grandson of the ex-King appears to be inexact.

There is a Fairoz Shah grandson of the ex-King/who --
is now alive in Mahrauli (No. 1 on the list prepared in
1862) and there are 2 Fairoz Shahs grandsons of Alum ---
Shah Nos. 168 and 238 on that list, but it cannot be ----
to any of these that the Ottoman Government adverts.

The Fairoz Shah who appears to be referred to, seems
to have left Delhi in the Mutiny and to have settled in
Turkey.

I understand from Mirza Suraya Jah that this Fairoz
Shah was really only a cousin of Bahadur Shah's, and he
has never received any pension or gratuity from the -----
Indian Government.

There is no property belonging to Fairoz Shah son
of Bahadur Shah which is held in trust by the Government
of India, and Mussamat Khairie, his widow, appears to
have no claim to assistance.

-----&&&&&-----

Endorsement by the Commissioner, Delhi Division,
No. 611 dated Delhi the 1st September 1911.

-----&&&&&-----

Copy of the foregoing with enclosures in original
forwarded to the Under Secretary to Government, Punjab,

for कपी राईट वरतीन अखिलेखानार
महाराष्ट्र शासन क ओशन
Copy Right Reserved in the National Archive
of India

for information, with reference to his letter No. 360 (~~Exix~~)
(Political-General) dated 14th June 1911.

-----&&&&&-----

Foreign Department.

[DRAFT.]—For Approval.

No. 1798 - G.

Simla, the 23rd September 1911.

G.B. October 19 11 No. 27

To

Previous Papers. { Dy. Heading *Por. Res.*
Dy. No. 182 - G.

Djaafes Bey,

Consul-General for Turkey
at Bombay.

ms. since 23/9/11

** See to S. M. (1)*

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 26 dated the 15th April 1911, to the address of the Secy. to the Govt. of Bombay, Por. Dept., enquiring whether any properties belonging to the late Mirza Feroze Shah, ^{said to be} a grandson of the Ex-King of Delhi, are held in trust by the Govt. of India.

2. I am to say that it has been ascertained that Mirza Feroze ^{referred to in the letter of the late Bahadur Shah in your letter of 15/4/11} Shah was only a Cousin and ~~not~~ ^{thinking this over} a grandson of the Ex-King of Delhi, ~~late Bahadur Shah. He has~~ ^{and} never received any pension or gratuity from the Govt. of India. He ^{appears} to have left Delhi in the year

Fair copied by *MS 23/9/11*
Read by *Exu*
Examined by *Kh G 23/9*
Despatched by *MS*

Proceedings,

No.

भारतीय राष्ट्रीय अभिलेखागार
भारत सरकार, नयी दिल्ली
Copy Right in the National Archives
of India, Govt. of India

1857/1858

Maharaja and to have settled in Turkey.

There is no property belonging to him which is held in trust by the Govt of India, and Mussammat Khairie, his widow, has no claim to assistance from them.

Have, &c.

(sd.) S. B. Patterson,

Asst. Secy.