

Number

Nature of claim preferred

Annual amount
of
Claim

Remarks by Commissioner

4 Rectification of Proprietary rights in 5 villages yielding an annual income of

2221 9 6.

I cannot recommend that Mirza Ustee Bahadur be allowed to exercise Proprietary rights in any village in the Delhi Territory as I consider it to be highly advisable that the influence and of the members of the Royal House should be put on and to their connection with landed or other property in the heart of the country should be considered. It is to be however considered that the services of the Mirza Ustee Bahadur are of a nature that would require a recognition than that which I have recommended in the shape of a continuance of the Pension of himself and family. I would suggest the propriety of granting him a money equivalent for the value of his property or an increase of his pension.

6 Restoration of Houses and shops in Delhi, and elsewhere, some of which are mortgaged

5 The grant of pensions to 76 individual members of his family, old women, widows, children who were dependant upon the 38 members of his family hung by Sir J. Metcalfe and now dependant upon Petitioner. amounting to Rs 2,314 per month.

27/7/68.

I recommend that pensions at the rate of 10 Rs per mensem be assigned to each of these 76 individuals for their maintenance and that they be removed there at Rangam Benares or ~~other place~~ ^{other place} Mirza Ustee Bahadur place of future residence may be fixed by Govt. - At the above rate the aggregate of Pensions will amount to 760 per mensem or Rs 9120 per annum.

7 Some additional reward for his services during the rebellion.

8 Compensation for his property lost in Delhi amounting to Rs 14,576

Total Req. 57,816/156

I do not recommend the payment of ^{additional} any reward to Mirza Shabee Beg and his family which I have recommended 812 his continuance of their former pensions & think it family payable in arrears —

The property of the Mirza can hardly be said to have been lost in consequence of his well known loyalty & attachment to the British Govt and therefore his claim cannot be registered under the Rules but as his losses were very severe and as his services to the Govt were important, I am inclined to think that his claim is one which is entitled to the liberal consideration of the Govt.

Chas. S. Sanderson
—

140-3/2

Enclosure to the 198 of 13 June
From (Cousin) William to Lady P. R.